by Robert A. Simons, Abdellaziz el Jaouhari, and Jesse D. Saginor

I. Introduction

This chapter reports on legal outcomes for cases involving environmental contamination on real property. The approach was to identify a body of legal cases from publicly available written material, and abstract the articles to obtain information about the cases' outcomes. Next we attempted to contact plaintiffs' attorneys to fill in information gaps. From this core of cases with all the relevant information available, we extrapolated attorneys fees and expert witness expenses, and applied these factors to cases with partial information. This process enabled us to provide estimates of both total gross verdict and settlement per property (excluding nonproperty awards, before legal and expert expenses and including punitive damages, if any), and net award per property after legal fees and expert and other expenses, for various types of cases and litigation paths, e.g., class action lawsuits.

Our sample was drawn from the verdicts and settlements database, and included all articles written about toxic tort cases between 1991 and 2004.¹ While not an exhaustive list, it does include a robust cross-section of cases selected by the MEALEYS' staff writers to be of interest to practicing lawyers. This body of literature included 735 cases. A key word search of these cases revealed the following breakdown: 193 asbestos (26%); 89 oil (12%); 83 property (11%); 69 product defects (9%); 64 nuisance (9%); 29 mold (4%); 28 health problems (4%); and 24 polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) (3%). No other key word generated more than 13 responses. Of these 735 cases, we deleted those cases that had no reference to property, leaving 166 legal cases that had reached a settlement or verdict.

From this list of property-related toxic tort cases, we developed a checklist of questions and factors required to analyze case outcomes. This in-

^{1.} Our sincere thanks to MEALEYS publications (a LEXIS-NEXIS company) for allowing us the free use of their data services for this research.

cluded case name, dates and venue, plaintiff and defense counsel, case disposition (including any appeals), number of plaintiffs and class status, and financial outcomes overall including punitive damages, if any. In addition, we obtained type of contamination, legal, expert, and other expenses, and other necessary details on subclass outcomes. We then systematically filled in available data from the MEALEYS' articles and a web search. At this point we had reasonably complete partial data on about 80 cases. Typically, missing data included legal fees and expert expenses.

We next attempted to contact plaintiffs' counsel to fill in the rest of the missing details. This required identification of the appropriate counsel from the aforementioned MEALEYS' articles, which often led to law firm and legal case websites. We were able to identify counsel in about 120 of the cases. Each attorney contact was e-mailed and called over the telephone at least three times. Eventually, we were successful in contacting counsel in about 25 cases, a response rate of about 15%. Thus, in only about 10 cases, we had complete data, including legal fees and expert expenses.

II. Filling in Missing Data

From these cases, and from patterns we were able to observe from the other cases for which we had partial data, we had about 50 verdicts and 40 settlements (some cases had both) from which to analyze gross property-related outcomes (awards before legal and expert expenses). Missing data usually included: (1) number of plaintiffs (which we were unable to estimate); and (2) details on the structure of the settlement or verdict. We estimated the property settlement (as opposed to a total award including medical monitoring, health-related awards, etc.), from the 50 or so available cases that had both figures, and assigned, as was typical of the cases with complete data, 85% of the total award to small cases, and 55% of the total award to larger, class action cases.

A. Legal Expenses

From about 40 cases, we were able to estimate average legal expenses. These figures were quite consistent, except where punitive damages were present. In our analysis, we applied a factor of 24% of verdict or settlement for class action cases and 38% for single-plaintiff cases (or those with smaller numbers), before punitive damages, where data are missing.

B. Expert Expenses

Since expert expense data were only available for about 10 cases, we relied upon this small sub sample, and also upon other information from this book. (See Alan Runyan's analysis on costs to try a case in Chapter 11.) For those

cases without expert expense data, we estimated expert witness expenses based on a sliding scale from a low of \$25,000 per plaintiff for leaking underground storage tank (LUSTs) cases, and \$275,000 per case for smaller numbers of plaintiffs, up to \$1 million for class action lawsuits. We then applied the same factors of 85% (the property share of total award) for smaller cases with under 10 plaintiffs, and 55% for expert expenses for class action and larger cases, to account for property-related expert expenses.

Results from this process were then applied to the larger sample to provide estimates of net awards per plaintiff. There were 10 cases containing complete data and another 68 cases where the data could be reasonably estimated based on the aforementioned calculations and details from the case. Of the 78 total cases discussed in this analysis, the natural break for separating cases into small and large was 10 plaintiffs. This cutoff resulted in 43 cases with fewer than 10 plaintiffs and 35 cases that had more than 10 plaintiffs. These results are reported below.

C. Shortcomings of This Analysis

From the sample/external validity side, we acknowledge that there are several potential case outcomes, based on how far along litigation progresses, and the fact that we did not capture cases equivalent to their presence in the litigation population. In other words, we were not able to control for when cases settled in the litigation process and compare the results to other cases settled at the same point in the proceedings. Ideally, this chapter would have attempted an exhaustive search of environmental contamination cases in the United States, filed, pending, and resolved, including selected settlements, with quantified outcomes for each category. However, since this is not feasible, we conducted a more limited analysis, based on the outcomes of known cases that have achieved verdicts or settlements in the past 10 years, according to MEALEYS. From earliest stages to latest, the hierarchy of cases (and its representation in our sample) is as follows:

1. Toxic tort cases could be developed, but not formally filed. Negotiations could take place outside court. (None of these cases were obtained.)

2. Cases were filed, but settled out of court prior to any other documents being filed with the court, e.g., expert reports, named witnesses, etc. (Only a few, if any, of these cases were obtained.)

3. Cases were filed, but settled during the discovery process before trial commences. Hearings before the court may be held, including class certification. (We were successful in getting a few, but not many of these cases.)

4. Cases were filed, and the case may have been put before a jury, but settled during trial. (An adequate number of these cases were acquired.)

When Bad Things Happen to Good Property

5. Cases were filed, heard, and went to trial, and the jury gave a verdict. (Our analysis is probably oversampled with these cases.)

6. Cases were filed, heard, and went to trial, and the jury gave a verdict, but were appealed. (These cases were easily obtained and reported.) The appeal court ruled on the case, either supporting it or overturning it, or the case was further appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court. (Again, our study is most likely oversampled with these cases.)

Informal discussions with various attorneys practicing toxic tort cases indicate that the vast majority of toxic tort property cases (well over two-thirds of all potential cases) settle, rather than go to trial. However, our sample contains about 60% trial cases of 28 settled cases and those 50 litigated at trial. Therefore, our sample is biased toward cases that have obtained a verdict, and away from those cases settled early in the process or outside the litigation process, e.g., voluntary mediation. Hence, we cannot generalize to the larger population of filed cases. Nevertheless, our results are useful as a stand-alone case study of the outcomes of toxic tort cases on property values.

With respect to internal validity issues, we have had to estimate data on the breakdown of nonproperty awards (primarily compensation for health issues, property remediation, connecting to public water, and medical monitoring). These issues are typically connected to property value claims, and may dominate them in some situations. While typically straightforward for those cases where data are available, we have not assumed any additional nonproperty awards where we had no information about the case. We prorated any expenses based on the property-total award ratio, if known, and also generated estimates of expert costs and legal fees in most cases. In addition, we had to deal with influential outliers, such as huge class action cases and those with punitive damages. We present results for both median and average outcomes, where appropriate. Therefore, the strongest part of our analysis is contained in the descriptive statistics of the various case outcomes. Although we have moderate confidence in the gross awards analysis, and less in the net awards section for this chapter, we feel the net analysis is useful in understanding patterns of outcomes.

Generally, the data we were able to collect is limited by the fact-intensive nature of litigation, making direct comparisons difficult in the absence of a full review of all the documents involved in the case. What is particularly problematic for this type of research is the unavailability of the acreage affected by each event and the number of plaintiffs per acre, or a similar unit to measure damages more consistently. As the following data will show, the difference between the median and mean for particular groups of contaminated properties is often dramatic, indicating that we were not able to control for these variations.

III. Results

We present our analysis of case outcomes in two groups. The first group includes results for cases with under 10 plaintiffs. The second group contains results for cases with 10 or more plaintiffs, typically class action cases. In the first section we cover descriptive statistics such as type of contamination, class status and number of plaintiffs, verdicts and settlements, appeals, and the U.S. state where the case took place. We then address average weighted gross case outcomes, such as total awards, property awards, and punitive damages, on a per-plaintiff (rather than per-case) basis. Where possible, we break out the outcomes by type of contamination. Finally, we report on average legal fees, expert expenses, and net weighted average outcomes for verdicts and settlements, for both the smaller and larger groups. For net verdict and net settlement award amounts, assume that legal and expert fees are deducted unless otherwise specified. Weighted averages are calculated by taking the total amount of the award for plaintiffs by case, by type of contamination, and dividing the total number of plaintiffs by type of contamination. We also provide an analysis by type of contamination, where data permit.

A. Descriptive Statistics

In this section we cover descriptive statistics, such as type of contamination, number of plaintiffs, etc. A total of 78 cases had sufficiently complete information and are used in this sample. Appendices A10-1 and A10-2 contain the case-by-case outcomes used in this chapter. Of these 78 cases, 43 cases (55%) had fewer than 10 plaintiffs, while 35 cases (45%) had 10 or more plaintiffs. In terms of cases by contamination type, oil spills were the most common (13 cases, or 17%), followed by other problems, such as a nuisance or electromagnetic fields (10 cases, or 13%), USTs and methyl tertiary butyl ethers (MTBEs) (8 cases, or 10%), landfills (7 cases, or 9%), mold and water (6 cases each, or 8%), and PCBs (5 cases, or 6%). Asbestos, heavy metals, insecticides/pesticides/herbicides, Superfund/hazardous waste sites, and trichloroethylenes (TCEs) had four cases for each type of contaminant (5%). Airborne contamination was the source of the remaining three cases (4%).

Table 10-1 contains smaller case descriptive statistics for the 43 cases with low plaintiff numbers that are typically not class action suits. Oil spills (21%), USTs and MTBEs (16%), and mold (14%) were the most frequent sources of contamination in these small cases. Eight of these cases (19%) occurred in Texas and five (12%) occurred in California. The average number of plaintiffs per case was 1.6, with 77% of cases having only one plaintiff. Only 9% of these cases were appealed, with the original verdict award amount upheld in each case. The average total verdict award amount per case was \$17,744,669 with a median of \$1.3 million. The difference between the two can be accounted for largely through the award of punitive damages.

The average gross total settlement award amount per case was \$8,797,093, with a median of \$6.5 million. Here, the range is closer because punitive damages were not part of the settlement. Case outcomes ranged between \$10,175 for an oil spill in Pennsylvania with one plaintiff, to \$220 million for an oil spill in California with two plaintiffs. Twenty cases had awards below \$1 million, 10 cases were between \$1 million and \$5 million, and 13 cases had awards in excess of \$5 million.

Table 10-2 contains the larger case descriptive statistics for litigation with over 10 plaintiffs and class action suits. The most prevalent contamination category out of these 35 cases was other/nuisance/electromagnetic fields (17%), followed by PCBs (14%). Of the remaining cases, heavy metals, landfills, and oil spills each were 11%, while Superfund/hazardous waste sites and TCEs each were 9%, air and water each were 6%, and asbestos and LUSTs each had only one case (3%). Alabama and Texas each had four cases while California, Colorado, Kentucky, and Louisiana all had three cases apiece. The average number of plaintiffs per case was 4,888, with a range between 10 plaintiffs for a nuisance case in Washington with a verdict of \$92,000, to an air pollution case with 60,000 plaintiffs in California that was settled out of court for \$180 million. Of these, 27 cases were class actions, with only 3 cases that were not class actions, and another 5 cases where the information was not available. The average total verdict amount was \$121 million with a median of \$8.3 million. The average total settlement amount was \$67.2 million with a median of \$8 million. The three non-class action cases all had verdicts between \$8.3 million and \$210 million. One PCB case had a verdict award of zero dollars. While 11 cases had awards of \$5 million and below, another 8 cases had awards between \$5 million and \$10 million. Nine cases had total awards above \$100 million.

Out of the total number of cases, 12 occurred in Texas and 8 occurred in California, accounting for over 25% of the sample. These two states are also the two most populous states in the United States based on the 2000 Census. Of the 29 total class action lawsuits, only four cases were not settled out of court. The average settlement amount is roughly 50% of the verdict amount for both small and large cases. Contaminants with either obvious health effects (heavy metals, insecticides, PCBs, TCEs, water, and air) or physical effects (oil spills) have higher verdict and settlement values than do less obvious, often non-surface contaminants such as landfills, Superfund sites, and LUSTs.

B. Gross Case Outcomes

In this section we present financial outcomes for total awards, property awards, and punitive damages on a per-plaintiff (rather than per-case) basis. Both small case and large case samples are shown. Where possible, we break out the outcomes by type of contamination.

Table 10-3 contains property awards, punitive damages, and gross outcomes for cases with low plaintiff numbers. Because a majority of the small cases involve only one plaintiff, the only variation between the verdict amount and the gross verdict or gross settlement award per plaintiff are the fees discussed in the net case outcome section. Property awards averaged \$6,678,949 with a median value of \$194,560. These awards ranged from \$8,500 for an oil spill in Pennsylvania with one plaintiff, to \$45 million for an asbestos case with one plaintiff also in Pennsylvania. Of the 23 cases with property awards, 13 cases had awards below \$1 million and 5 cases had awards above \$10 million. Only eight cases had punitive damages ranging from \$35,625 for an oil spill in Oklahoma with one plaintiff, to \$200 million for an air pollution case in Texas.

The gross verdict award per plaintiff had a weighted average of \$9,334,694 and a median of \$5,206,185. An oil spill in Pennsylvania with one plaintiff had a gross verdict award of \$10,175. On the high end, an oil spill in California with two plaintiffs had a gross verdict award of \$91 million per plaintiff.

The gross settlement award per plaintiff had a weighted average of \$3.440 million and a median of \$3.352 million. The lowest gross settlement award of \$88,000 was for an UST/MTBE case in California with one plaintiff. An insecticide/pesticide/herbicide case also in California had the largest gross settlement of \$17.598 million for one plaintiff.

Table 10-4 contains property awards, punitive damages, and gross outcomes for large, mostly class action suits. Property awards ranged from zero for a PCB case in Kentucky with 54 plaintiffs, to \$22 million for a heavy metals case in Colorado with 567 plaintiffs. The average property award was \$5.114 million with a median of \$3.580 million for the 15 cases with property awards. Nine cases (26%) had punitive damages, with four of these cases resulting in punitive damages of zero dollars. These four cases (one each for an other/nuisance/electromagnetic field, PCB, oil spill, and air) indicate that the attorneys sued but did not succeed in obtaining any money for punitive damages. The other five cases ranged from \$850,000 for an other/nuisance/electromagnetic field case in Louisiana with 8,000 plaintiffs, to \$210 million for a PCB case in Kentucky with 52 plaintiffs.

Of the 10 cases that had verdicts, the average gross verdict per plaintiff had a weighted average of \$35,496 and a median of \$114,982. One case had a verdict award of zero dollars and four other cases had gross verdicts below \$10,000. Additionally, three cases had gross verdicts below \$200,000 and the two remaining cases had gross verdicts above \$3 million per plaintiff. The case with a gross verdict of zero occurred in Kentucky for a PCB class action case with 54 plaintiffs. In this case, the trial court's award was reversed on challenge under *Daubert v. Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*² In

^{2. 509} U.S. 579, 23 ELR 20979 (1993).

Texas, an UST/MTBE case with 13 plaintiffs had a gross verdict per plaintiff of \$6,423,077.

The gross settlement per plaintiff had an average of \$26,671, a weighted average of \$6,134, and a median of \$4,191. A majority of settlements (60%) resulted in per-plaintiff settlements of less than \$5,000. Only one settlement award (\$403,683 per plaintiff) for water contamination in California resulted in per-plaintiff damages over \$100,000, however, this case was not a class action. The smallest gross settlement amount was \$702 for a heavy metals case in Alabama with 2,689 plaintiffs.

The gross award per plaintiff is much higher in smaller cases than in large cases. Property awards in the small cases are larger, most likely due to the ability to pinpoint a certain property or properties sustaining damage. Both mold and asbestos are single-site contaminants that are detectable. For the large case sample, water, TCEs, and PCBs had the highest weighted gross settlements per plaintiff, while air pollution, oil spills, mold, and asbestos had the highest verdicts per plaintiff for the small case sample. This may be attributable in part by the mode which pollutants reach the contaminated property. There was no clear geographic pattern regarding which states had which cases and average level of settlements and verdicts.

C. Net Case Outcomes

In this section we report on average legal fees, expert expenses, on a per-case and per-plaintiff basis and net outcomes for verdicts and settlements, for both the smaller and larger case samples. We also provide an analysis by type of contamination, where data permit. We acknowledge that our assumptions on legal fees and expert expenses were impacted by whether or not the case resulted in punitive damages, and unless otherwise stated, impact the results.

Table 10-5 contains small case sample legal fees, expert fees, and net verdict and settlement outcomes per plaintiff. Where multiple cases per type of contamination existed, the largest average legal fees per case were for asbestos (\$9,154,995) and mold (\$3,435,413). The one small plaintiff air pollution case had legal fees of \$79.356 million but this verdict upon which the fees were based is unlikely to be representative of all air pollution cases. The average legal fees were \$3,452,285 with a median of \$200,000. The average expert fees (\$99,430 with a median of \$59,500) suggest that the greater amount of money spent on experts for small cases reflect the possibility of the case resulting in large verdicts or settlements.

For cases with a small number of plaintiffs with a net verdict award per plaintiff, the weighted average was \$5,682,686 and the median was \$344,437. Results ranged from \$1,600 for an other/nuisance/electromagnetic field case in Connecticut, to \$90,197,264 for an oil spill case in California.

For settled cases, the weighted average net settlement per plaintiff was \$3,094,372 with a median of \$2,011,105. Settled cases had a low of \$32,518

per plaintiff for an UST/MTBE case in California, to \$10,692,878 for an insecticide/pesticide/herbicide case also in California.

Table 10-6 contains large case sample legal fees, expert fees, and net verdict and settlement outcomes per plaintiff. The largest legal fees (\$36.720 million) were for the only LUST/MTBE case in the large sample, followed by water (\$31,882,613). The lowest legal fees were for Superfund/hazardous waste sites (\$859,888) and TCEs (\$925,676). Legal fee averages were \$9,729,437 with a median of \$1,252,477. Since a majority of expert fees were estimated by assumption, there was little variation. Twenty-seven cases had expert fees of \$550,000 with an average of \$459,200.

Of the 10 cases with a verdict, the average weighted verdict was \$23,727 with a median of \$94,496. The net verdict per plaintiff ranged from \$1 for a PCB case in Kentucky with 54 plaintiffs, to \$3,580,481 for an LUST/MTBE case in Texas with 13 plaintiffs.

Weighted average net settlement awards per plaintiff were \$4,576 with a median of \$2,906. For net settlement awards per plaintiff, the smallest settlement was \$352 for a heavy metals case in Ontario, Canada, with 3,046 plaintiffs, to a high of \$305,549 for a water pollution case in California involving 650 plaintiffs.

The fluctuation in the legal fees provides some interesting conclusions. For the small cases, legal fees were approximately 21% of the total award and 12% of the total award for large cases. On a per-case basis, the legal fees for small cases ranged from 1 to 77%, which may indicate expert fees combined as part of the overall legal fees. The variation for small case legal fees is expected since a majority of these cases went to trial. For large cases, the legal fees ranged from less than 1 to 48%. This percentage is interesting because several of the cases with the highest percentages were settled out of court. This fact indicates that legal fees are not dependent on the case going to trial, but more dependent on the contract between the lawyer and the client.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the available cases, several interesting conclusions can be made despite no clear and consistent trends and patterns existing among the legal data. The smaller cases are largely site-specific sources of contamination such as asbestos, mold, TCEs, UST/MTBEs, and localized oil spills. These smaller cases also have higher net and gross verdict and settlement awards per plaintiff. Court cases are also prevalent, with 86% of the cases in our sample going to trial, accounting for the varying costs of legal fees. Property awards also varied greatly and were not confined to any particular type of contamination.

Unlike the small plaintiff number cases, larger cases were settled 71% of the time. Only 2 cases out of 22 (9%) with more than 500 plaintiffs went to trial. Per-plaintiff verdict awards were below the average, weighted average,

When Bad Things Happen to Good Property

and median for the settlement awards per plaintiff. Property damages were highest for heavy metals cases. Punitive damages for these cases were awarded for other/nuisance/electromagnetic field cases, PCBs, and LUST/MTBEs. Cases with PCB as the source of contamination had the highest weighted net settlement awards per plaintiff. The next chapters present the toxic tort litigation from the plaintiffs and defense counsel perspectives. Unlike the earlier chapters, the two that follow are advocacy-oriented.

10	-1 Small	Case Des	criptive Statist	lics
Contamination Type	Number of Cases	Average Number of Plaintiffs per Case	Average Verdict Amount per Case	Average Settlement Amount per Case
Air	1	6.00	\$204,000,000	
Asbestos	3	3.33	\$ 25,366,667	
Insecticide/pesticide/ herbicide	4	1.75	\$ 18,528,343	\$21,000,000
Landfill	3	1.00	\$ 209,000	
Mold	6	1.33	\$ 11,291,667	
Oil spill	9	1.67	\$ 27,869,978	\$ 6,000,000
Other/nuisance/ electromagnetic fields (EMFs)	4	1.25	\$ 240,631	
Superfund/hazardous				
waste	1	1.00		\$ 194,560
TCE	1	2.00		\$18,500,000
UST/MTBE	7	1.00	\$ 775,204	\$ 88,000
Water	4	1.25	\$ 1,347,199	\$ 7,000,000
Average		1.60	\$ 17,744,669	\$ 8,797,093
Median		1.00	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 6,500,000

10-	2 Large	Case Desc	riptive Statistic	s
Contamination Type	Number of Cases	Average Number of Plaintiffs per Case	Average Verdict Amount per Case	Average Settlement Amount per Case
Air	2	30,500		\$ 93,150,000
Asbestos	1	55	\$ 8,300,000	
Heavy metals	4	3,701	\$ 28,000,000	\$ 21,375,000
Landfill	4	3,304	\$ 4,080,204	\$ 7,166,667
Oil Spill	4	7,755		\$ 47,650,000
Other/nuisance/EMFs	6	2,874	\$217,935,500	\$ 33,500,000
РСВ	5	5,229	\$142,666,667	\$321,850,000
Superfund/hazardous waste	3	2,134		\$ 6,333,333
TCE	3	117		\$ 5,166,667
UST/MTBE	1	13	\$102,000,000	
Water	2	415	\$131,000,000	\$168,500,000
Average		4,888	\$121,323,262	\$ 78,299,074
Median		2,874	\$ 8,300,000	\$ 8,000,000

Table 10-3 Smal	I Case G	ross Outcor	nes by Cor	ntamination	Type by Pla	aintiff
Contamination Type	Number of Plaintiffs	Average Total Award	Property Award	Punitive Damages	Gross Verdict Award per Plaintiff Weighted	Gross Settlement A ward per Plaintiff Weighted
Air	9	\$204,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$200,000,000	\$27,833,333	
Asbestos	10	\$ 25,366,667	\$ 35,300,000	\$ 3,700,000	\$ 7,373,650	

Average Total	Property	Punitive	Gross Verdict	Gross
Award	Award	Damages	Award per	Settlement
			Plaintiff Weighted	Award per
			worgneed	Weighted
\$204,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$200,000,000	\$27,833,333	
\$ 25,366,667	\$ 35,300,000	\$ 3,700,000	\$ 7,373,650	
\$ 23,778,343	\$ 4,158,000	\$ 15,600,000	\$ 5,206,185	\$17,598,000
\$ 209,000	\$ 59,000		\$ 146,538	
\$ 11,291,667	\$ 11,550,000		\$ 7,534,425	
\$ 25,439,981	\$ 6,115,753	\$ 43,353,531	\$20,469,942	\$ 838,000
\$ 240,631	\$ 100,000		\$ 169,562	
\$ 194,560	\$ 194,560			\$ 194,560
\$ 18,500,000	\$ 12,500,000			\$ 6,250,000
\$ 677,032	\$ 437,200		\$ 710,082	\$ 88,000
\$ 3,097,199	\$ 1,300,000		\$ 968,997	\$ 5,866,000
\$709,335,299	\$153,615,830	\$408,314,125	\$ 5,322,423	\$ 5,139,093
\$ 16,496,170	\$ 6,678,949	\$102,078,531	\$ 9,334,694	\$ 3,439,547

~ \mathfrak{c} ∞ 15 Ś - \sim \sim Ś 69

Insecticide/pesticide/herbicide

Landfill

\$ 3,352,000

\$ 5,206,185

2,450,000

s

194,560

S

\$ 1,500,000

-

1.6

Average Median

Oil Spill

Mold

Superfund/hazardous waste Other/nuisance/EMFs

UST/MTBE

TCE

Water

Total

Tabl	e 10-4 L	arge Cas	e G	ross Outco	omes by Con	tamina	tion Ty	pe by Plain	tiff	
Contamination Type	Number of Cases	Number of Plaintiffs	Ave Awe	rage Total ard	Average Property Award	Average Punitive Damage		Gross Verdict Award per Plaintiff Weighted	Gross Settlemen Award per Plaintiff Weighted	
Air	7	61,000	Ś	93,150,000		S	ı		\$ 1,674	
Asbestos	1	55	S	8,300,000	\$ 5,197,000			\$ 94,491		
Heavy metals	4	14,802	Ś	19,575,000	\$16,300,000				\$ 2,532	
Landfill	4	13,214	S	34,115,102	\$ 2,800,000			\$ 1,733	\$ 5,830	
Oil Spill	4	31,018	S	47,650,000	\$ 8,000,000	S	ı		\$ 3,484	
Other/nuisance/EMFs	9	17,245	\$	156,457,000	\$ 393,375	\$ 1,4	14,400	\$ 4,401	\$ 4,024	
PCB	5	26,146	\$	214,340,000	\$ 5,086,667	\$105,0	00,000	\$1,276,781	\$ 13,567	
Superfund/hazardous waste	ŝ	6,402	\$	6,333,333	\$ 358,000				\$ 1,672	
TCE	ю	350	\mathbf{S}	5,166,667	\$ 5,700,000				\$ 25,213	
UST/MTBE	1	13	Ś	102,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$100,0	00,000	\$6,423,077		
Water	2	830	S	67,500,000					\$318,778	
Total	35	171,075	\$2,8	881,902,408	\$76,710,500	\$	17,072	\$ 780,048	\$376,775	
Average		4,888	Ś	82,340,069	\$ 5,092,782	\$ 41,2	282,880	\$1,560,097	\$ 15,071	
Median		1,000	\$	8,000,000	\$ 3,580,000	30 59	\$50,000	\$ 94,491	\$ 4,024	

	Table 1	0-5 Small Ca	ase Fees and I	Vet Case Outc	omes by Plair	ntiff	
Contamination Type	Number of Cases	Number of Plaintiffs	Average Total Award	Average Legal Fees	Average Expert Fees	Net Verdict Award per Plaintiff Weighted	Net Settlement Award per Plaintiff Weighted
Air	1	9	\$ 204,000,000	\$ 79,356,000	\$ 850,000	\$ 14,465,667	
Asbestos	3	10	25,366,667	9,154,995	226,667	4,559,151	
Insecticide, Pesticide, Herbicide	4	L	23,778,343	2,328,048	103,063	4,803,425	\$ 10,692,878
Landfill	ŝ	3	209,000	75,019	59,500	47,267	
Mold	9	8	11,291,667	3,435,413	159,375	4,838,334	
Oil Spill	6	15	25,439,981	470,659	38,722	20,189,904	497,583
	4	5	240,631	82,449	49,938	70,255	
Other/nuisance/E MFs							
	1	1	194,560	75,684	59,500		59.376
Superfund/hazard							
ous waste							
TCE	1	2	18,500,000	4,862,500	119,000		3,759,250
UST/MTBE	7	7	677,032	168,963	21,250	497,414	32,518
Water	4	5	3,097,199	1,165,218	80,750	550,621	3,524,626
Average		1.6	16,496,170	3,542,285	99,430	5,558,004	2,067,783
Median		1	1,500,000	200,000	59,500	4,559,151	2,011,105

When Bad Things Happen to Good Property

Net Settlement 1,76510,255 1,9862,5802,9882,283 Award per 1,253 3,471 Weighted Plaintiff Ś Ś Ś Ś Ś Ś S S 599,805 61,719 245 13,468 61,719 3,374 896,918 241,224 1,011 Net Verdict Award per Plaintiff 3,580,481 Weighted Table 10-6 Large Case Fees and Net Case Outcomes by Plaintiff $\boldsymbol{\diamond}$ $\boldsymbol{\diamond}$ $\boldsymbol{\diamond}$ S \$ \$ \$ Ś \$ S Average Expert Fees \$550,000 \$550,000 \$244,917 \$550,000 \$302,500 \$550,000 \$550,000 \$495,000 \$550,000 \$444,583 \$233,750 \$459,200 \$550,000 Average Legal Fees 925,676 4,514,584 6,510,904 2,720,922 27,874,426 859,888 36,720,000 31,882,613 9,729,437 12,302,134 1,252,477 1,252,477 2,258,001 Ś Ś Ś Ś Ś \$ Ś $\boldsymbol{\diamond}$ \boldsymbol{S} Ś S \$ \$ Average Total Award 93,150,000 8,300,000 19,575,000 34,115,102 47,650,000 156,457,000 214,340,000 6,333,333 5,166,667 102,000,000 67,500,000 82,340,069 8,000,000 Ś S S S S Ś \$ S Ś Ś S \$ S Number of Plaintiffs 31,018 17,245 26,146 61,000 14,802 13,214 6,402 350 4,888 55 13 830 1,000 Number of Cases 9 ŝ \mathcal{C} 2 2 4 3 4 hazardous waste Other/nuisance/ Contamination Heavy metals UST/MTBE Superfund/ Asbestos Oil Spill Type of Landfill Average Median EMFs Water PCB TCE Air

An Analysis of Toxic Tort Property Cases Filed, and Their Outcomes

Contamination Type	State	Number	Class	Verdict	Verdict	Settlement
		of	Action?	Amount	Amount	Amount
		Plaintiffs	3		if Appealed	
Asbestos	PA	1	No	\$45,000,000	\$45,000,000	
Mold	CA	1	No	200,000		
Other/nuisance/EMFs	CT	1	No	100,000		
Mold	AZ	1	No	4,000,000		
Mold	ΤX	1	No	32,000,000		
Superfund/hazardous waste	RI	1	No			\$ 194,560
UST/MTBE	IL	1	No	1,850,000		
Water	ΤX	1	No			7,000,000
UST/MTBE	VA	1	No	50,000		
Insecticide/pesticide/herbici	de FL	1	No	2,000,000		
Water	ΤX	1	No	1,500,000		
Oil spill	ΤX	1	No	100,000	100,000	
Oil spill	CO	1	No	1,873,285		
UST/MTBE	PA	1	No	2,094,223		
Oil spill	PA	1	No	10,175		
UST/MTBE	CA	1	No			88,000
Insecticide/pesticide/herbici	de ID	1	No	3,113,370	3,113,370	
Landfill	SD	1	No	59,000		
UST/MTBE	OR	1	No	75,000		
Other/nuisance/EMFs	OH	1	No	50,000		
Oil spill	MA	1	No	335,000		
Other/nuisance/EMFs	NJ	1	No	762,524		
Oil spill	MN	1	No	25,743		
Landfill	GA	1	No	188,000	188,000	
UST/MTBE	OH	1	No	400,000		
Insecticide/pesticide/herbici	deCA	1	No			21,000,000
Landfill	WI	1	No	380,000		
Oil spill	TX	1	No	430,000		
UST/MTBE	NY	1	No	182,000		
Mold	CA	1	No	2,700,000		
Asbestos	NY	1	No	25,600,000		
Oil spill	OK	1	No	185,625		
Water	MA	1	No	1,300,000		
TCE	IL	2	NA			18,500,000
Mold	FL	2	No	17,300,000		
Water	WA	2	No	2,588,794		
Oil spill	CA	2	No	220,000,000		
Mold	FL	2	No	11,550,000		
Other/nuisance/EMFs	OH	2	No	50,000		
Insecticide/pesticide/herbici	de TX	4	No	69,000,000		(000 000
Oil spill	GA	6	NA	204.000.000		6,000,000
Air	IX	0	No	204,000,000		
Aspestos	IX	8	NO	5,500,000		

Appendix A10-1 - Small Case Dataset

Appendix A10-1 (continued) Property Punitive Legal Expert Gross Gross Net Verdict Net Award Damages Fees Fees Verdict Settlement Award per Settlement Award per Award per Plaintiff Award per Plaintiff Plaintiff Plaintiff \$45,000,000 \$17,505,000 \$233,750 \$45,000,000 \$27,261,250 67,453 59,500 173,400 46,447 100,000 38,900 59,500 100,000 1,600 1,906,448 1,349,052 212,500 3,468,000 27,744,000 10,792,416 233,750 16,717,834 194.560 75,684 59,500 194,560 59.376 1,628,750 1,850,000 200,000 21,250 1,850,000 2,281,874 59,500 5,866,000 3,524,626 50,000 19,450 21,250 50,000 9,300 1,040,700 200,000 1,200,000 77,800 59,500 1,178,000 505.895 59,500 1,300,500 735,106 33,726 21,250 86,700 31,724 1,357,500 278,500 528,068 21,250 1,584,478 1,035,160 706,304 21,250 1,815,691 1,088,137 8,500 3,307 21,250 8,500 1 88,000 34,232 21,250 88,000 32,518 2,699,292 1,050,025 59,500 1,589,767 19,899 59,500 51,153 1 75,000 21,250 75,000 13,750 40,000 59,500 43,350 16,863 1 150,000 58,350 21,250 150,000 70,400 257,171 59,500 661,108 344,437 21,250 25,743 10,014 25,743 1 59,500 59,000 59,000 77,000 1 21,250 190,645 134,905 346,800 10,692,878 6,845,622 59,500 17,598,000 128,160 59,500 329,460 141,800 100.000 100,000 27,209 59,500 181,500 94,791 123,000 123,000 47,847 21.250 53,903 910.610 212,500 2,340,900 1.217.790 25,600,000 9,958,400 212,500 25,600,000 15,429,100 150,000 35,625 75,253 21,250 179,034 82,531 1,300,000 1,000,000 85,000 1,300,000 215,000 6,250,000 12,500,000 4,862,500 119,000 3,759,250 7,499,550 3,000,000 119,000 5,940,000 873,104 119,000 1,122,242 626,190 41,018,527 173,000,000 1,500,000 119,000 91,006,764 90,197,264 11,550,000 4,492,950 119,000 5,775,000 3,469,025 16,863 21,250 21,675 2,618 8,116,000 30,000,000 1,338,745 233,750 8,141,500 7,748,376 2,000,000 42,500 838,000 497,583 27,833,333 4,000,000 200,000,000 79,356,000 850,000 14,465,667 3,700,000 1,585 233,750 392,063 362,646

Contamination Type	State	Number	Class Verdict Verdict Settlement
containing ton Type	State	of	Action? Amount Amount Amount
		Plaintiffs	if Appealed
Other/nuisance/EMFs	WA	10	Yes \$ 92,000
Other/nuisance/EMFs	CO	12	NA 2,300,000
UST/MTBE	TX	13	No 102,000,000
TCE	WV	14	Yes \$1,350,000
Other/nuisance/EMFs	GA	23	Yes 4,350,000 \$4,350,000
PCB	KY	40	No 210,000,000
PCB	KY	52	Yes 218,000,000
PCB	KY	54	Yes
Asbestos	MA	55	No 8,300,000
Oil spill	IN	118	Yes 7,600,000
TCE	WI	150	NA 4,150,000
Water	NJ	180	NA 4,000,000
TCE	IL	186	Yes 10,000,000
Landfill	CO	514	Yes 1,460,408
Heavy metals	CO	567	Yes 28,000,000 35,200,000
Water	CA	650	Yes 131,000,000 333,000,000
Other/nuisance/EMFs	IN	700	Yes 2,000,000
Air	SC	1000	Yes 6,300,000
Superfund/hazardous wa	aste FL	1402	Yes 6,000,000
Landfill	OH	1700	Yes 6,700,000 5,000,000
Oil spill	ΤX	1900	Yes 8,000,000
Superfund/hazardous wa	aste AL	2400	Yes 6,500,000
Superfund/hazardous wa	aste TN	2600	Yes 6,500,000
Heavy metals	AL	2689	Yes 5,000,000
Heavy metals	Ontario	3046	Yes 3,900,000
Landfill	CA	4000	Yes 113,500,000
PCB	AL	5000	Yes 43,700,000
Landfill	WA	7000	Yes 16,500,000
Other/nuisance/EMFs	LA	8000	Yes 865,000,000 -
Other/nuisance/EMFs	ΤX	8500	Yes 65,000,000
Heavy metals	ΤX	8500	Yes 41,400,000
Oil spill	LA	12000	Yes 135,000,000
Oil spill	LA	17000	Yes 40,000,000
PCB	AL	21000	NA 600,000,000
Air	CA	60000	NA 180,000,000

Appendix A10-2 - Large Case Dataset

Property Award	Punitive Damages	Legal Fees	Expert Fees	Gross Verdict Award per Plaintiff	Gross Settlement Award per Plaintiff	Net Verdict Award per Plaintiff	Net Settlement Award per Plaintiff
\$ 30,000 100,000 2,000,000 43,500 7,560,000 7,700,000	\$	\$ 50,000 38,900 36,720,000 330,845 227,000 1,821,960 52,538,000	\$ 21,250 59,500 233,750 233,750 233,750 550,000 550,000 550,000	\$ 9,200 135,473 6,423,077 156,033 189,000 3,439,423	60,750	\$ 2,075 127,273 3,580,481 136,000 129,701 2,418,500 1	20,422
5,197,000 5,700,000	-	1,252,477 1,003,717 548,082 528,272 1,898,100	550,000 329,999 550,000 55,000 550,000	94,491	35,295 15,161 12,178 30,645	61,719	23,992 7,841 8,937 17,483
22,000,000 1,400,000		214,695 5,302,000 63,236,954 337,400	550,000 550,000 550,000 55,500	1,733	38,801 403,683 2,000	245	28,480 305,549 1,439
3,580,000 2,800,000 8,000,000	-	832,028 862,780 674,800 1,928,000 858,442	550,000 550,000 550,000 550,000		3,452 2,553 1,647 4,211		2,070 1,546 927 2,906
		858,442 858,442 660,340 515,065 14,989,718	550,000 550,000 550,000 555,000		1,484 1,370 1,019 702 15,550		828 569 352 11,665
	850,000	5,771,372 2,179,122 7,087,810 8,584,420	550,000 550,000 550,000 550,000	3,763	4,790 1,292 4,191	2,808	3,525 902 3,116
10,600,000		2,554,600 17,829,180 5,282,720 79,240,800 23,772,240	550,000 550,000 550,000 550,000 550,000		1,247 6,165 1,289 15,657 1,644		882 4,633 946 11,858 1,239

Appendix A10-2 (continued)